

CIBM Annual Symposium 2024

Forum Rolex Learning Center, EPFL, Lausanne Switzerland | 7th November 2024 **20th Anniversary**

FID backward linear prediction with two autoregressive algorithms for full compensation of acquisition delays

Alessio Siviglia^{1,2}, Brayan Alves^{1,2}, Jessie Mosso^{1,2}, Cristina Cudalbu^{1,2}, Bernard Lanz^{1,2}

¹ CIBM Center for Biomedical Imaging, Switzerland ² Animal Imaging and Technology, EPFL, Lausanne, Switzerland





Despite their great potential¹⁻³, the main disadvantage of ¹H-FID-MRSI acquisitions at ultra-high field is the delay required between the excitation pulse and the FID acquisition signal (between 0.7 and 1.5 ms). Signal quantification was proposed either by adapting the basis-set simulation by removing the first points of all FIDs², or by prediction of the missing points at the beginning of FIDs using a backward linear prediction (BLP) autoregressive algorithm³.

FID MRSI: Principles



To investigate two Backward Linear Prediction (BLP) auto**regressive** methods (*fillgaps* and *arburg*) full on **reconstruction of FID signals up to AD = 0** and to evaluate their consistency on metabolites concentration estimation in two situations:

- On *in vivo* acquisitions with common acquisition delays (AD), e.g. 1.300 ms and 0.708 ms
- On Monte-Carlo simulations, to replicate the *in-vivo* approach in ideal conditions

In-vivo concentration maps show:

RESULTS

- Good consistency between BLP (AD = 0) results and original acquisitions for metabolites as Gln, Ins, Tau, tNAA with slice-averaged relative errors respectively below < 6.9 %, < 11.3 %, < 7.5 %, < 4.2 %.
- A biased quantification for Glu and tCho only, related probably to induced baseline distortions
- Consistency between *fillgaps* and *arburg* quantification results for all the quantified metabolites



tNAA

%

CIBM.CH

100

80

60

40

20

METHODS

Backward Linear Prediction (BLP) Autoregressive Methodology: Steps



In-vivo data (rat brain):

- 2D FID ¹H-MRSI (14.1 T, AD = 0.708 and 1.300 ms, time-domain sampling = 1024 points, slice thickness = 2 mm, FOV = 24x24 mm², matrix size = 31x31, 1 average, n = 4 rats)
- HLSVD, lipid suppression, denoising, LCModel fitting
- BLP back-calculation of missing points (5 for AD = 0.708 ms case and 9 for AD = 1.300 ms) up to AD = 0 ms, metabolites quantification and comparison with original acquisition
- Focus on metabolites of interest Glu, Gln, Ins, Tau, tCho and tNAA.

Monte-Carlo FID ¹H-MRSI simulations:

1000 realisations on a single voxel based on reference spectra (AD = 0.708 and 1.300 ms, SNR = 12, absence of water and lipids, 1 voxel)

Quantification and comparison

(Original acquisition vs BLP

reconstruction)

- Analogous acquisition parameters as *in-vivo*
- Realistic concentrations values for 24 metabolites
- BLP back-calculation of missing points (5 for AD = 0.708 ms case and 9 for AD = 1.300 ms) up to AD = 0 ms, metabolites quantification and comparison with original simulations
- Focus on metabolites of interest Glu, Gln, Ins, Tau, tCho and tNAA.





- The two tested BLP autoregressive methods show a great potential for accurate ¹H FID MRSI full backpredictions to AD = 0
- An optimized processing pipeline of BLP and metabolic quantification to avoid baseline distortions is yet to be developed

Swiss National EPFL **Science Foundation**

References

1. Simicic D, et al. Fast high-resolution metabolite mapping in the rat brain using 1H-FID-MRSI at 14.1T. 2023;(arXiv:2307.03261). doi:10.48550/arXiv.2307.03261; 2. Bogner W, et al. High-resolution mapping of human brain metabolites by free induction decay 1H MRSI at 7T. NMR Biomed. 2012;25:873-882. doi:10.1002/nbm.1805; 3. Henning A. Proton and multinuclear magnetic resonance spectroscopy in the human brain at ultra-high field strength: A review. NeuroImage. 2018;168:181-198. doi:10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.07.017

Financial support: We acknowledge access to the facilities and expertise of the CIBM Center for Biomedical Imaging founded and supported by

Monte-Carlo analysis:

VS

Confirmed the *in-vivo* detected biased quantification for Glu and tCho and baseline distortions

 $(AD = 1.300 \rightarrow 0 \text{ ms})$

Consistency between *fillgaps* and *arburg* quantification results was also observed for all the metabolites



Lausanne University Hospital (CHUV), University of Lausanne (UNIL), Ecole polytechnique fédérale de Lausanne (EPFL), University Hospitals (HUG). Financial support was provided by the Swiss National Science Foundation (Project No. 205321L_207935 and 310030_201218).