Beta amyloid (Aβ) accumulation is the earliest pathological marker of Alzheimer’s disease (AD), but early AD pathology also affects white matter (WM) integrity. We performed a cross-sectional study including 44 subjects (23 healthy controls and 21 mild cognitive impairment or early AD patients) who underwent simultaneous PET-MR using 18F-Florbetapir, and were categorized into 3 groups based on Aβ burden: Aβ− [mean mSUVr < 1.00], Aβi [1.00 < mSUVr < 1.17], Aβ+ [mSUVr ≥ 1.17]. Intergroup comparisons of diffusion MRI metrics revealed significant differences across multiple WM tracts. Aβi group displayed more restricted diffusion (higher fractional anisotropy, radial kurtosis, axonal water fraction, and lower radial diffusivity) than both Aβ− and Aβ+ groups. This nonmonotonic trend was confirmed by significant continuous correlations between mSUVr and diffusion metrics going in opposite direction for 2 cohorts: pooled Aβ−/Aβi and pooled Aβi/Aβ+. The transient period of increased diffusion restriction may be due to inflammation that accompanies rising Aβ burden. In the later stages of Aβ accumulation, neurodegeneration is the predominant factor affecting diffusion.

© 2020 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Alzheimer’s disease (AD) is neuropathologically defined by the accumulation of beta amyloid (Aβ) plaques and tau protein hyperphosphorylation in gray matter structures particularly the cerebral cortex and hippocampus. Although classic macroscopic structural changes such as hippocampal atrophy and parenchymal volume loss can be detected in the later stages of mild cognitive impairment (MCI) and AD using conventional magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), the pathogenesis of AD long precedes its clinical symptoms, often by decades (Jack et al., 2013), making preclinical diagnosis difficult. One of the earliest pathological findings in AD is the accumulation of Aβ plaques in the cerebral cortex, which can be detected in vivo with Aβ PET imaging radiotracers such as florbetapir before the onset of clinical manifestations (Clark et al., 2011; Sperling et al., 2011; Wong et al., 2010) with high sensitivity (88%–98%) and specificity (88%–100%) (Clark et al., 2012; Curtis et al., 2015; Sabri et al., 2015). Aβ plaques are a necessary but insufficient marker for clinical deterioration, consistent with recent evidence of synergistic effects between Aβ burden and hippocampal atrophy (Bilgel et al., 2018), and with the research framework of AD considering the presence of amyloid, tau, and neurodegeneration to stage cases along a pathobiological continuum (Jack et al., 2018).

Although the pathogenesis of AD was historically considered a disease of gray matter, white matter (WM) damage has been observed histologically in postmortem patients with early AD as partial loss of myelin, axons, and oligodendroglial cells, as well as the presence of reactive astrocytic gliosis (Brun and Englund, 1986; Englund et al., 1988; Gottfries et al., 1996; Kemper, 1994; Kobayashi et al., 2002; Malone and Szoke, 1985; Roher et al., 2002; Svennerholm and Gottfries, 1994). WM structure can be monitored in vivo using diffusion MRI. The most widely used diffusion
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MRI method is diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) [Basser and Pierpaoli, 1996], which quantifies the Gaussian part of the diffusion displacement distribution, characterized by the diffusion tensor and its derived metrics including the mean, axial and radial diffusivity (RD), and fractional anisotropy (FA). The FA is a marker of orientation coherence for diffusion, whereas the RD is the diffusivity transverse to the main fiber orientation, with both being used as empirical markers of WM integrity. Multiple studies have consistently shown that subjects with MCI and early AD exhibit WM alterations indicative of reduced integrity/complexity with reduced FA, and increased mean, axial, and radial diffusivities in normal-appearing WM tracts of the temporal, parietal, and frontal lobes compared to healthy elderly control subjects (Agosta et al., 2011; Madden et al., 2009; Mayo et al., 2017; Wurzman, 2015). Several DTI studies also have highlighted a strong correlation between WM alterations and cognitive performance (Chang et al., 2015; Di Paola et al., 2015; Kantarci et al., 2014; Mielke et al., 2009), as well as high prediction value for future memory decline (Mielke et al., 2012).

Despite these findings of reduced WM integrity in AD, the exact underlying pathologic changes of these diffusion markers and their timeline in the clinical course of AD are undefined, and the temporal relation of WM changes with respect to amyloid deposition is not well characterized. In particular, there are conflicting studies of WM changes as measured with DTI with respect to amyloid burden, reporting either increased diffusion restriction [reflected by a respectively lower mean diffusivity (MD) and higher FA (Racine et al., 2014)] or reduced diffusion restriction [reflected by a higher MD (Chao et al., 2013; Pietroboni et al., 2017) or lower FA (Chao et al., 2013)] in WM with increasing amyloid load. A decoupling between amyloid status and WM degeneration also has been reported (Kantarci et al., 2014; Mito et al., 2018).

In this study, we used diffusion kurtosis imaging (DKI), a clinically feasible extension of DTI that accounts also for non-Gaussian diffusion properties of nervous tissue and thus provides additional information on tissue complexity [Jensen and Helpern, 2010]. DKI includes standard DTI metrics such as FA and RD, as well as additional kurtosis metrics such as radial kurtosis (RK). DKI also allows for estimating the white matter tract integrity (Fieremans et al., 2011) model including quantification of the axonal water fraction (AWF), which is the relative ($T_2$-weighted) signal fraction from water inside the axons (and potentially glial processes) over the total water fraction (from water both inside and outside axons, excluding myelin water due to its short $T_2$). Using the cuprizone-fed mouse model (Guglielmetti et al., 2016; Jelescu et al., 2016b), the diffusion MRI—derived AWF has been recently validated against AWF derived from electron microscopy images and has been shown to be sensitive to both patchy demyelination and axonal degeneration taking place during the acute (6 weeks) and chronic (12 weeks) phases of cuprizone intoxication, respectively.

As applied to the study of aging and AD, both DKI metrics and AWF have been shown previously to differentiate AD from MCI (Fieremans et al., 2013) and to be altered first in vulnerable late-myelinating WM tracts compared to early myelinating tracts (Benitez et al., 2013, 2018). This is indicative of demyelination and potential axonal degeneration in these bundles during the AD pathologic cascade.

The goals of the present study are to use DKI metrics and AWF to identify WM tracts affected by AD pathology and to characterize the relationship between WM microstructural changes and AD deposition in both cognitively healthy and cognitively impaired populations. This way, we aim to better understand the mechanisms that may be involved in the pathogenesis of AD, and in its prodromal stage, MCI.

2. Methods

2.1. Subjects

This study was approved by the local Institutional Review Board. Fifty-two subjects were recruited from an Alzheimer Disease Center in a cross-sectional study design of cognitively normal or early cognitively impaired patients. Per protocol, all subjects received neurological and neuropsychological evaluations in addition to integrated PET/MR imaging. PET and MR were separately reviewed by a nuclear medicine physician and a board-certified neuroradiologist, respectively, before inclusion in this study. Of the 52 subjects initially recruited, 8 subjects in total were excluded due to motion degradation ($n = 1$), comorbidities (traumatic brain injury or severe depression, $n = 2$), or alternative explanations for cognitive impairment (primary progressive aphasia, posterior cortical atrophy, severe ischemic WM disease, frontotemporal dementia, or cerebellar ataxia, $n = 5$) as suggested by medical history and/or imaging examination. Ultimately, 44 subjects (mean age 69.0 ± 5.1 years, range 56–79 years; 24 females) were included in this study.

All participants were comprehensively evaluated by board-certified neurologists, psychiatrists, and neuropsychologists using the Uniform Data Set from the National Institute on Aging Alzheimer Disease Center Program (Morris et al., 2006; Weintraub et al., 2009). Clinical diagnosis was derived at consensus conference using standard criteria for AD (McKhann et al., 2011) and MCI thought to be due to underlying AD (Albert et al., 2011; Petersen et al., 2001). Global cognitive status was staged using the Global Deterioration Scale (GDS) (Reisberg et al., 1982). A GDS score of 1 corresponds to normal cognition, GDS score of 2 corresponds to subjective cognitive impairment, GDS score of 3 corresponds to MCI, and GDS score of 4 represents mild dementia. Although developed in 1982, the GDS staging closely aligns with the research clinical stages of the AD continuum proposed by Jack and colleagues (Jack et al., 2018). In our analyses, GDS scores of 1 and 2 combine to represent normal controls, GDS score of 3 represents MCI, and GDS score of 4 represents mild AD.

2.2. Acquisition

Subjects were scanned on a 3-T integrated PET-MRI system (Siemens Biograph mMR, VB20) after obtaining informed consent. A dose of 9 mCi of 18F-Florbetapir (El Lilly) was injected intravenously and PET list-mode data were acquired for 20 minutes starting at 40 minutes after injection. One static uptake image was reconstructed (Wong et al., 2010) using the Siemens e7tools combined with a VB20 Siemens Ultrashort Echo Time—based attenuation map (TE$_1$ = 0.07 ms, TE$_3$ = 2.46 ms, resolution = 1.6 mm isotropic). This attenuation correction was performed to account for standardized uptake value (SUV) inaccuracy in air, bone, and soft tissue and has been shown to exhibit robust reduction of attenuation-related artifacts (Aasheim et al., 2015). PET reconstruction parameters were—algorithm: OP-OSEM (ordinary Poisson ordered subset expectation maximization) with 3 iterations and 21 subsets; matrix: 344 × 344; 2 mm-kernel Gaussian filter; zoom 2.

MRI data were acquired using a 12-channel phased array RF coil. An anatomical MP-RAGE was acquired (TE = 2.98 ms, TR = 2.3s, TI = 900 ms, flip angle = 9°, resolution = 1 mm isotropic) for cortical segmentation. A FLAIR image (Fluid Attenuated Inversion Recovery) was acquired to evaluate WM lesion load (32 axial slices, slice thickness = 5 mm, in-plane resolution = 750 × 692 µm$^2$, TE = 91 ms, TR = 8 second, inversion time = 2.27s, flip angle = 150°). For the derivation of diffusion tensor, kurtosis tensor, and AWF (Fieremans et al., 2011), a total of 140 diffusion–weighted images were acquired as follows: 4 b = 0 images, b = 250 s/mm$^2$–6 directions,
2.3.5. Diffusion metrics

Values of mSUVr between 1.00 and 1.17 were considered equivocal based on postmortem neuropathology data (Fleisher et al., 2011). An accurate threshold used to re-categorize subjects into Aβ+/C0− and Aβ−/C0+ groups was performed for each WM ROI. Quantitative comparison of these 4 metrics among the different WM regions of interest (ROIs) that were chosen based on their (early) involvement in AD pathogenesis (Chao et al., 2013; Oishi and Lyketsos, 2014; Racine et al., 2014; Wolf et al., 2015: fornix, genu, and body of the corpus callosum (cc), anterior limb of the internal capsule (left and right), anterior corona radiata (left and right), and superior corona radiata (left and right). Quantitative comparison of these metrics among the 3 Aβ groups was performed for each WM ROI.

2.3.6. Voxel-wise analysis

Using FSL’s tract-based spatial statistics (TBSS), standardized skeletonized voxel-wise analyses were performed to identify areas where significant differences in diffusion metrics (Smith et al., 2006) occurred between groups. Briefly, the Johns Hopkins University (JHU) White Matter FA template (Mori et al., 2008) was used as a target for registration of each subject’s FA map using FSL’s nonlinear registration tool (Andersson et al., 2007; Jenkinson et al., 2012), from which the mean FA map was computed and projected onto a WM skeleton, representing the center of WM tracts. A threshold of FA > 0.4 was chosen to ensure that the skeleton represented areas of high fiber unidirectionality, which is a regime where the WMFI template is applicable and where the AWF estimation has been shown to agree with that from more advanced models that can account for fiber dispersion, such as Fiber Ball Imaging (McKinnon et al., 2018). Each diffusion metric parametric map (FA, RD, RK, AWF) was projected onto the thresholded WM skeleton before statistical analysis.

2.3.7. Region of interest analysis

For each subject, the FA map was registered to the JHU template (Mori et al., 2008) using the nonlinear transformation computed during TBSS analysis. All WM regions of interest (ROIs) were then warped from the JHU template into native subject space. For each subject, mean and standard deviations for FA, RD, RK, and AWF were extracted in 9 WM ROIs that were chosen based on their (early) involvement in AD pathogenesis (Chao et al., 2013; Oishi and Lyketsos, 2014; Racine et al., 2014; Wolf et al., 2015). These ROIs included the fornix, genu, and body of the corpus callosum (cc), anterior limb of the internal capsule (left and right), anterior corona radiata (left and right), and superior corona radiata (left and right). Quantitative comparison of these 4 metrics among the 3 Aβ groups was performed for each WM ROI.

2.3.8. Statistical methods

For both voxel-wise and ROI-based approaches, we performed a one-way ANCOVA analysis between Aβ−/C0+, Aβ0, and Aβ+/C0− groups for each diffusion parameter of interest, with group membership acting as the independent variable and controlling for patient age and sex. Similar ANCOVA analyses were also performed controlling for age, sex, and GDS. For the voxel-wise approach, a nonparametric statistical analysis was performed using FSL’s “randomize” (Winkler et al., 2014), with 5000 permutations along with threshold-free cluster enhancement (Smith and Nichols, 2009) to correct for
multiple comparisons between groups, and obtain group differences between voxels at a significance level of $p < 0.05$. Significant differences in voxel-based clusters were projected onto the WM skeleton to visualize statistical comparison results. For the ROI approach, the threshold for statistically significant group differences was $p < 0.05$ after applying Tukey’s Honest Significant Difference criterion (Tukey’s HSD) for comparing 3 independent groups. To further confirm our findings, we additionally performed partial Pearson correlations (covarying for age, sex, and GDS) relative to mSUVR in 2 groups, created by combining the Aβ−/Aβi groups into a single cohort, and Aβi/Aβ+ groups into a single cohort.

3. Results

3.1. Participant characteristics

The subject demographics categorized by Aβ level are listed in Table 1. Of the 44 subjects, 13 (30%) were classified as Aβ−, 22 (50%) as Aβi, and 9 (20%) as Aβ+. There were no significant differences among Aβ groups in terms of age ($p = 0.61$, ANOVA) or sex ($\chi^2 = 3.4$, $p = 0.18$, $\chi^2$ test). Among the Aβ− cohort, 10/13 (77%) were cognitively normal, and 3/13 had MCI. Among the Aβi cohort, 11/22 (50%) were cognitively normal and 11/22 had MCI. Among the Aβ+ cohort, 2/9 (22%) were cognitively normal, 5/9 (56%) had mild cognitive impairment, and 2/9 had clinical AD.

The mean hippocampal volume [% of total intracranial volume] was 0.49, 0.54, and 0.40, respectively, for Aβ−, Aβi, Aβ+ groups ($p = 0.06$ for Aβ−/Aβi comparison, $p = 0.05$ for Aβ−/Aβ+ comparison, and $p < 0.01$ for Aβi/Aβ+ comparison, ANCOVA covarying for age and corrected for multiple comparisons using Tukey’s HSD). There was no difference in WM lesion load among the 3 groups ($p = 0.80$ for Aβ−/Aβi comparison, $p = 0.56$ for Aβ−/Aβ+ comparison, $p = 0.84$ for Aβi/Aβ+ comparison, ANCOVA covarying for age and corrected for multiple comparison using Tukey’s HSD). These neuroimaging characteristics are summarized in Table 2. A plot of mSUVR versus age is shown in Fig. 1.

3.2. ROI analysis: group comparisons

The nonmonotonic diffusion metric changes between Aβ−/Aβi and Aβi/Aβ+ as observed in the TBSS analysis also were observed in independent ROI analyses of the WM tracts defined based on the JHU WM Atlas, summarized in Table 3. The most notable significant changes were found in the fornix and the genu of the corpus callosum, but the nonmonotonic trend also appeared diffusely, including the body of corpus callosum and anterior corona radiata. Corresponding boxplots are shown in Fig. 3 for the fornix and Fig. 4 for the genu of the corpus callosum. Results were not altered by

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Aβ− (n = 13)</th>
<th>Aβi (n = 22)</th>
<th>Aβ+ (n = 9)</th>
<th>$\chi^2$/F-test</th>
<th>$p$ value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>67.7 ± 5.8</td>
<td>69.5 ± 5.0</td>
<td>69.8 ± 4.7</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>0.616</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of females</td>
<td>5/13 (38%)</td>
<td>15/22 (68%)</td>
<td>4/9 (44%)</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>0.185</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of cognitive normal</td>
<td>10/13 (77%)</td>
<td>11/22 (50%)</td>
<td>2/9 (22%)</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of MCI</td>
<td>3/13 (23%)</td>
<td>11/22 (50%)</td>
<td>5/9 (56%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. of AD</td>
<td>0/13 (0%)</td>
<td>0/22 (0%)</td>
<td>2/9 (22%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Subjects are age- and sex-matched across groups. Mental status is significantly different across groups ($p = 0.013$). ANOVA test for age, chi-square test for sex, chi-square test for cognitive status (healthy control vs. MCI vs. AD).

Key: AD, Alzheimer’s disease; MCI, mild cognitive impairment.

Fig. 1. Plot of mSUVR versus age, categorized by cognitive status. Subjects with lower amyloid burden correlate with lower prevalence of impaired cognitive status (77% of Aβ− subjects are cognitively normal, compared to 50% in Aβi, and 22% in Aβ+, $p = 0.013$, chi-square test). Aβ−: mSUVR $\leq$ 1.1, Aβi: 1.1 < mSUVR $< 1.17$, Aβ+: mSUVR $\geq$ 1.17.

TBSS voxel-wise analyses are summarized in Fig. 2. TBSS detected differences in FA, RD, RK, AWF between Aβ− versus Aβi, and in FA, RK, AWF between Aβi and Aβ+ groups. Most notably, group differences were found in the genu of the corpus callosum between Aβ−/Aβi for all diffusion parameters and between Aβi/Aβ+ for RK, and AWF ($p < 0.01$). From Aβ− to Aβi, there was an overall increase in diffusion restriction as shown by decreasing RD, and increasing FA, RK, and AWF. Interestingly, from Aβi to Aβ+, the diffusion differences implied an overall decrease in diffusion restriction, as shown by lower FA, RK, and AWF.

While these opposing changes were predominantly observed in the genu of the corpus callosum and anterior corona radiata, we also found similar differences in the fornix. The latter however disappeared after cluster-wise correction for multiple comparisons, possibly because of the small size of this region and increased partial volume effects with CSF due to AD-related fornix atrophy (Oishi and Lyketsos, 2014). The uncorrected TBSS results are shown as Supplementary Fig. 4.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Aβ− (n = 13)</th>
<th>Aβi (n = 22)</th>
<th>Aβ+ (n = 9)</th>
<th>$p$ value (Aβ−/Aβi)</th>
<th>$p$ value (Aβ−/Aβ+)</th>
<th>$p$ value (Aβi/Aβ+)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mSUVR</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.07 ± 0.05</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.11</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized hippocampal volume [% of TIV]</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.08</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.061</td>
<td>0.048</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WM lesion load [% of TIV]</td>
<td>0.14 ± 0.29</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.19</td>
<td>0.07 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td>0.844</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.001$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Standardized hippocampal volume differed significantly only in the Aβi/Aβ+ comparison. ANCOVA, covarying for age, corrected for multiple comparisons (e.g., Aβ−/Aβi, Aβ−/Aβ+, and Aβi/Aβ+ comparisons) using Tukey's HSD.

Key: TIV, total intracranial volume.
inclusion of GDS as a covariate (not shown), which suggests the relationship between amyloid burden and white matter integrity is not likely to be directly driven by underlying relationships between amyloid burden and cognitive status on the one hand, and cognitive status and white matter integrity on the other hand. In addition to FA, RD, RK, and AWF, we observed similar changes across diffusion metrics such as MD and kurtosis, as well as axial diffusivity and kurtosis, provided in Supplementary Table 1.

3.3. ROI analysis: correlation analysis of mSUVr versus diffusion metrics

To examine the overall trend between amyloid low and high groups, we additionally combined the Aβi−/Aβi groups into a single cohort, and Aβi+/Aβi groups into a single cohort. Separately for each cohort, we analyzed the correlations between each diffusion metric (FA, RD, DK, AWF) and to mSUVr (with amyloid burden as a continuous variable) using age-, sex-, and GDS-controlled Pearson regression in 2 selected regions of interest known to be involved (fornix and genu of the corpus callosum) in AD pathology. With the exception of RD in the Aβi−/Aβi cohort in the genu of the corpus callosum, we found significant correlations between all diffusion metrics and mSUVr among both cohorts, and in both ROIs (Figs. 5 and 6). Furthermore, the sign of the correlation coefficient was opposite between the 2 cohorts, for example, AWF correlated positively with SUVR in the Aβi−/Aβi cohort and negatively in the Aβi+/Aβi cohort. This further validates the observed nonmonotonic changes and highlights that the voxel-wise and ROI analyses presented previously do not depend strongly on the precise SUVR threshold chosen to separate Aβi−, Aβi+, and Aβi+ groups.

4. Discussion

We reported diffusion metrics in cognitively normal and impaired subjects with varying Aβ levels using integrated PET/MR imaging. Subjects were grouped into low, intermediate, and high Aβ levels, based on binding of 18F-Florbetapir in selected cortical regions known for pathological uptake (Clark et al., 2011). Using 2 independent methods—TBSS and ROI analysis of WM tracts defined by the JHU WM Atlas—we measured the group means of diffusion metrics as a function of Aβ levels, and found changes in opposing directionality that were most notable in the genu of the corpus callosum and fornix, and further supported by correlational analyses in these regions. Findings also were more extensively spread in anterior cerebral WM tracts such as the anterior corona radiata. We found a pattern suggesting more diffusion restriction at intermediate amyloid burden (between Aβi− and Aβi+ groups)—as indicated by higher FA, RK, and AWF and lower RD—and less diffusion restriction at higher amyloid burden (between Aβi and Aβi+ groups)—as indicated by lower FA, RK, and AWF and higher RD. RK and AWF parameters showed the most robust and significant changes, supporting the value of studying advanced models of tissue water diffusion in addition to traditional DTI.

Previous DTI-based studies assessing the relationship between WM structure and cerebral amyloid load measured with PiB (Chao et al., 2013; Racine et al., 2014; Wolf et al., 2015) or CSF Aβ levels (Pietroboni et al., 2017) observed changes in parts of the cingulum, corona radiata, and corpus callosum. However, the reported directions of changes in DTI metrics are not well agreed upon. On the one hand, 2 studies spanning subjects who were cognitively normal or mild cognitively impaired found that Aβ positivity was associated with reduced FA in the fornix and splenium of the corpus callosum (Chao et al., 2013; Pietroboni et al., 2017), or an increased MD in WM lesions (Pietroboni et al., 2017). Yet another study found increased FA and lower MD with cerebral amyloid deposition in cognitively healthy subjects separated into Aβ−, Aβi, and Aβi+ groups defined based on specific PiB threshold uptake (Racine et al., 2014). This study’s finding between Aβ− and Aβi is concordant with our results, but they did not report divergent changes observed in the present study between Aβi and Aβ+. A recent DTI study of ADNI data reported a nonlinear association between global WM diffusion metrics and PiB amyloid deposition (Wolf et al., 2015) which is also consistent with the present study. Our study expands on these previous studies by including higher order diffusion metrics (i.e., DKI parameters and AWF) in addition to DTI, and by identifying the specific WM tracts involved in early AD, among 3 categorizable levels of amyloid burden (low, intermediate, high) in cognitively healthy controls and MCI/AD. Although DKI metrics are sensitive but not specific to features of microstructure, the AWF derived from the WMTI model provides a specific measure of the relative size of the intra- versus the extra-axonal compartments, weighted by their respective T2 values. Our findings were not altered when including...
clinical status (determined by the GDS) as a covariate, suggesting that they cannot be explained in terms of cognitive impairment but rather are directly related to Aβ burden, which is in agreement with previous studies in either cognitively healthy controls (Racine et al., 2014; Wolf et al., 2015) or mixed cohorts with status being accounted for (Chao et al., 2013; Pietroboni et al., 2017). Because the relationship of WM changes with respect to Aβ burden was not altered by the inclusion of GDS as a covariate, this suggests there may be a mechanistic relationship between amyloid burden and white matter degeneration that may not directly link to or potentially precede cognitive decline.

4.1. Mechanistic insights

Inflammatory processes such as microglial activation and reactive astrocytes have been observed in both aging and AD brains as seen histologically in both mice and human WM (Raj et al., 2017). The observed lower RD, and increased FA, RK, and AWF of global WM tracts, particularly the genu of the corpus callosum and fornix, in the Aβ group, could potentially be explained as a result of these underlying pathological events (Brun and Englund, 1986). In a validation study of diffusion MRI versus histology in cuprizone-fed mice, a similar association between lower RD and increased FA, RK, and AWF was observed in the corpus callosum during the acute inflammatory demyelinating phase characterized by extensive infiltration and proliferation of microglia (Guglielmetti et al., 2016), where these changes were explained because of increased cellularity and membrane barriers resulting in increased restriction and microscopic complexity. Another possible explanation would be that, in the initial demyelination and inflammation stages, iron release results in shortened T2 for the extra-axonal space, and thus seemingly increased AWF. When the neurodegenerative stage is reached, AWF decreases because the extra-axonal space is relatively expanded due to the loss of myelin and of axons. This is consistent with the myelin model introduced by Bartzokis (2004, 2011), suggesting that myelin breakdown releases iron, which promotes the development of amyloid plaques, which in turn destroys more myelin until the neurodegenerative stage. Multimodal approaches, combining diffusion with myelin estimates from relaxometry, for example Bouhrara et al. (2018), could provide more complete in vivo assessment of microstructural changes in the AD cascade.

The nonmonotonic trend reported here for DKI and WMTI metrics in WM matches trends reported for other AD biomarkers in gray matter. In particular, a cross-sectional study reported cortical thickening in Aβ+/p-tau- groups (Fortea et al., 2014) and in groups with transitional CSF Aβ levels (Fortea et al., 2011), while a longitudinal study showed reduced rates of cortical atrophy in Aβ+ compared to normal aging from Aβ- (Pegueroles et al., 2017). Furthermore, a biphasic trajectory has been observed for cortical MOG, similar to the one observed in the WM in the present study, in a cross-sectional cohort consisting of healthy controls, MCI subjects, and AD subjects (Montal et al., 2018). Interestingly, here we further observed a trend toward larger hippocampal volume in the Aβ group and lower in the Aβ- group, which could be interpreted as swelling in the Aβ+ group (due to increased vascular permeability and/or inflammation), and atrophy in the Aβ+ group.

### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ROI</th>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Aβ- (AVG±STD)</th>
<th>Aβ+ (AVG±STD)</th>
<th>Aβ+–Aβ- (AVG±STD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Forntix</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.26 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>2.05 ± 0.44</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.44</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.14</td>
<td>0.88 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AWF</td>
<td>0.21 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.17 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genu of CC</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.49 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>1.04 ± 0.16</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.11 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>1.28 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.38 ± 0.13</td>
<td>1.21 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AWF</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body of CC</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.59 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>0.72 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.76 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>1.68 ± 0.14</td>
<td>1.79 ± 0.17</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AWF</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior limb of internal capsule (right)</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.54 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.57 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>1.56 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.60 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.52 ± 0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AWF</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior limb of internal capsule (left)</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.58 ± 0.07</td>
<td>0.60 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.12</td>
<td>1.55 ± 0.11</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AWF</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior corona radiata (right)</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>0.81 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.80 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>1.16 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.10 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AWF</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior corona radiata (left)</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.39 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.13</td>
<td>0.75 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>1.13 ± 0.10</td>
<td>1.20 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.08 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AWF</td>
<td>0.31 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.32 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.30 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior corona radiata (right)</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.10</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.07</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.45 ± 0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AWF</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior corona radiata (left)</td>
<td>FA</td>
<td>0.45 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.46 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.48 ± 0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RD</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.65 ± 0.11</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RK</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.46 ± 0.09</td>
<td>1.43 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AWF</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.01</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This is noted particularly in the fornix and genu of the corpus callosum. Widespread changes were observed in multiple white matter tracts. ANCOVA, covarying for age and sex, corrected for multiple comparisons of combinations of 2 groups using Tukey’s HSD. Statistical significance is denoted by *.

Key: AWF, axonal water fraction; CC, corpus callosum; FA, fractional anisotropy; RD, radial diffusivity; RK, radial kurtosis; ROI, region of interest.
these observations of nonmonotonic (micro)structural changes, cerebral blood flow and hippocampal activation also have been shown to be higher in MCI than in AD and normal aging (Dickerson et al., 2005; Sierra-Marcos, 2017). Although there are no data correlating diffusion with perfusion and MRI in AD, it is possible that gray matter hyperperfusion and hyperconnectivity in early stages of the disease, which is believed to be part of an initial compensatory mechanism also including pathological elevation of neural activity and release of inflammatory molecules, could parallel the early changes in the white matter that cause an inflammatory response.

Altogether, these findings suggest that the opposing WM diffusion changes that are observed with increasing amyloid burden may be from the fact that inflammation is an early event in AD and that neurodegeneration increases with disease duration and dominates WM diffusion changes later in the disease course. Inflammation may stop or its signature/inflammatory molecules, could participate in different stages of the disease, which is believed to be part of an initial compensatory mechanism also including pathological elevation of neural activity and release of inflammatory molecules, could parallel the early changes in the white matter that cause an inflammatory response.

Fig. 3. ROI analysis of the fornix. Observed changes in FA, RD, RK, and AWF are in opposite directions between Aβ+/Aβi and between Aβi/Aβ+ groups. There is lower RD and higher FA, RK, and AWF between the Aβ+ and Aβi groups. There is higher RD and lower FA, RK, and AWF between Aβi and Aβ+ groups. Statistical significance is denoted by *p < 0.05 and ***p < 0.001. Statistics is performed using ANCOVA, covarying for age, with correction for multiple comparison. Group means ± standard errors of the mean are displayed in error bars. Abbreviations: AWF, axonal water fraction; FA, fractional anisotropy; RD, radial diffusivity; ROI, region of interest; RK, radial kurtosis.

Fig. 4. ROI analysis of the genu of the corpus callosum. Observed changes in FA, RD, RK, and AWF are in opposite directions between Aβ+/Aβi and between Aβi/Aβ+ groups. There is lower RD and higher FA, RK, and AWF between the Aβ+ and Aβi groups. There is higher RD and lower FA, RK, and AWF between Aβi and Aβ+ groups. Statistical significance is denoted by *p < 0.01, and **p < 0.001. Statistics is performed using ANCOVA, covarying for age, with correction for multiple comparison. Group means ± standard errors of the mean are displayed in error bars. Abbreviations: AWF, axonal water fraction; FA, fractional anisotropy; RD, radial diffusivity; ROI, region of interest; RK, radial kurtosis.

4.2. Limitations and future directions

This study may have some limitations that need to be addressed in future research. First, this is a cross-sectional study, and it cannot be assumed that a subject with a certain level of Aβ is temporarily similar in clinical manifestation to another subject with the same level of Aβ. Future longitudinal studies combining diffusion MRI with amyloid or tau imaging or CSF markers in individual subjects should better elucidate how WM microstructure changes over time and the underlying pathogenesis. Studying tau would be particularly valuable as this protein has been proposed as the confounding factor explaining the nonlinear trajectory of changes in cortical gray matter (Fortea et al., 2014).

Second, cardiovascular disease may have influenced the diffusion metrics. As cardiovascular diseases are neither static nor binary entities (e.g., diabetes, hypertension, and other cardiovascular diseases range widely in severity, and can fluctuate over time), we chose to quantitatively measure leuкоaraisis (i.e., periventricular and subcortical white matter FLAIR hyperintensity) to characterize cerebral effects from cardiovascular disease burden and found no effect at the group level. APOE4 status is an independent risk factor for cerebral amyloid deposition and has been associated with the
**Fig. 5.** Correlation analysis of diffusion metrics in the fornix versus mSUVr in combined Aβ− and Aβ+ groups (cohort 1), and combined Aβi and Aβ− groups (cohort 2), which demonstrate that correlation coefficients (corrected for age, sex, and Global Deterioration Scale) are significant and in opposite directions for the 2 cohorts. Each plot illustrates the correlation with mSUVr for FA (top left), RD (top right), RK (bottom left), and AWF (bottom right). Abbreviations: AWF, axonal water fraction; FA, fractional anisotropy; RD, radial diffusivity; RK, radial kurtosis.

**Fig. 6.** Correlation analysis of diffusion metrics in the genu versus mSUVr in combined Aβ− and Aβ+ groups (cohort 1), and combined Aβi and Aβ− groups (cohort 2), which demonstrate that correlation coefficients (corrected for age, sex, and Global Deterioration Scale) are significant and in opposite directions for the 2 cohorts. Each plot illustrates the correlation with mSUVr for FA (top left), RD (top right), RK (bottom left), and AWF (bottom right). Abbreviations: AWF, axonal water fraction; FA, fractional anisotropy; RD, radial diffusivity; RK, radial kurtosis.
progression of white matter hyperintensities in AD. Unfortunately, no APOE genotype data were available in our cohort.

Third, our limited sample size necessitates to some extent the quantitative categorization of the cerebral amyloid burden, which is a continuous variable by nature, though continuous sampling was not achieved in our sample size (shown in Fig. 1). Although statistically significant changes were observed, the relatively small sample size of our study may limit the generalizability of our results.

Finally, our study includes subjects from 3 different global stages of cognition (as per the GDS scale), which may complicate the interpretation of the relationship between WM integrity and Aβ burden, because subjects with different cognitive status might have different neurodegeneration and neuropsychological burden such as tau. Owing to our sample size, limiting the analysis to subjects with the same level of cognition would have resulted in underpowering the study, as discussed in the previous limitation. We emphasize however that our findings were not altered when including GDS as a covariate in the analyses, which means GDS did not have a notable influence over the relationship between WM integrity and amyloid burden.

### 5. Conclusion

White matter diffusion-derived kurtosis and white matter tract integrity parameters change in opposite directions between Aβ low and Aβ intermediate, and between Aβ intermediate and Aβ high cohorts, respectively, suggesting that different mechanisms affect WM microstructure during different stages of AD. For low Aβ deposition, mechanisms including microglial activation may restrict diffusion, while later on, neurodegenerative effects such as demyelination and axonal loss may dominate and result in less restricted diffusion. The study results emphasize that WM injury occurs in the preclinical or early clinical stages of AD and that diffusion-derived kurtosis and white matter tract integrity parameters may provide useful quantitative biomarkers of early AD.
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